



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.41, No.38

September 30th, 2005

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK: "The essential soul of a nation is in its character, its culture and tradition. The King is the natural embodiment of Honours and Sanctions – of Culture and Tradition and, as such, is naturally Supreme Commander of the Armed Forces..."

Our present situation is not adventitious – it is the outcome of a venomous hatred and envy of our indigenous qualities. If anyone is foolish enough to suppose that the prestige of this country and the Empire, and with them, the welfare of the population, can be restored by an appeal to an anonymous, irresponsible, and misinstructed ballot-box democracy, I can assure them that, if their opinion should prevail and our destinies be submitted to decision by that process, the outcome is a mathematical certainty – our final eclipse."

– Clifford Hugh Douglas in *"Realistic Constitutionalism"*, 1947

UNIVERSITY DECISION SHOWS WANT OF "INTELLECTUAL SPINE": Following the decision by Deakin University not to publish Professor Andrew Fraser's article the following letter was sent to the Editor of *The Australian* by Nigel Jackson of Belgrave, Victoria.

"The decision by Deakin University to forbid the *Deakin Law Review* to publish Professor Andrew Fraser's essay "Rethinking the White Australia Policy" ('Uni backs off "racist" article', 20/9) is another major indicator of the serious loss of intellectual freedom that characterises contemporary Australia. In simple terms, the essay and its author are the victims of the political obscurantism which is nakedly exposed by the essay's rigorous analysis.

Fraser correctly points out that globalist ideology, based on 'the interwoven myths of equality and universal human rights', is enshrined in the fashionable assertion (expressed in the International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination and the Racial Discrimination Act of 1975) that 'any doctrine of superiority based on racial differentiation is scientifically false, morally condemnable, socially unjust and dangerous'.

Neither the UNO nor the Australian Parliament has any just grounds for prohibiting publication of objections to that political opinion (for that is all it is); and Deakin University ought to have had the intellectual spine to resist the pressure placed upon it.

Vice-chancellor Sally Walker's excuse that 'universities are not exempt from the law, nor should they be' neglects the fact that universities, if they really acknowledge 'responsibility to encourage open public debate and scrutiny', must be willing to publicly challenge unjust laws or laws perceived as such.

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *University Decision Shows Want Of "Intellectual Spine"*
• *From "On The Grapevine" – Australia Has No Culture* • *Bringing 'Em In By The Bucket Load* • *Social Credit News From The Solomons* • *Bill Daly Responded* • *The Frog In The Well*

As it is, the University has provided further evidence to support Professor Fraser's observation that 'defenders of the ruling orthodoxy', unable to counter the growing body of scientific research unfavourable to their beliefs, 'are resorting to social ostracism, legal repression and physical coercion'. Why is a scientific treatise met with 'hysterical reaction' and why are dissident views on race regarded 'as embarrassing social diseases'? The answer given by Professor Fraser is that such obscurantism undermines our traditional British culture and institutions which 'remain the principal constraints on managerial reach and power' of current transnational political elites.

These elites use multiculturalism as a weapon to divide subject populations, 'the better to dominate them'.

It is thus in the interest of most Australians to support Professor Fraser and ensure that his views are heard and studied, rather than defamed and neglected."

FROM 'ON THE GRAPEVINE' – AUSTRALIA HAS NO CULTURE by James Reed: An interesting article by Zuleyka Zevallos appeared in the academic journal *People and Place* (vol.13, no2 2005), entitled "It's Like We're Their Culture": Second Generation Discuss Australian Culture". Zevallos considered the views on Australian culture of 50 second-generation migrant women aged 17 to 28 years. The women were from "Latin" and Turkish backgrounds. These women "find it hard to see any Australian culture". Some see a vacuum; others see a bland milieu populated with 'average looking people'. In contrast, they feel that their own migrant cultures are strong.

If ever there was a reason for questioning the wisdom of the Fabian socialists who began Australia's post WWII immigration mess, this is it. This is what it has led to. We have duplicated the folly of the ancient Romans and unless we change our policies, we reap the same fate.

In the same edition of *People and Place* by Katharine Betts, "Cosmopolitans and Patriots: Australia's Cultural Divide and Attitudes to Immigration," Betts points out that during the 1970s and 1980s there was strong opposition to immigration. In 1991 polls showed that 73 per cent of people thought that Australia's immigration intake was too high. That opposition began to decline in 1996 when the Coalition government under John Howard took control. Minor cuts were made to the intake for a short time, but by 2000-2001 the figures were higher than under Keating.

The Asian intake topped (officially) 70 per cent. To counter Hanson, Howard cunningly set out a series of false anti-immigration acts to give the illusion that something was being done about the immigration problem, such as the seemingly harsh stand on asylum-seekers. This took the high Asian intake issue off the political agenda. There was also the "brain dead" factor that many people close to the immigration opposition movement believed that John Howard was "on side" and doing "something" about immigration and multiculturalism.

In fact, Howard flirted with opposing immigration numbers in 1988 before making a complete 180 degree turn. Since that time he has repeatedly said that he supports an Asian Australia: if Australia is racially Asian in 100 years time he sees nothing wrong with that – in fact it would be good for trade. This very question was put to Ruddock as Immigration Minister by a colleague and he gave that very reply.

Immigration opposition needs to be revived in Australia. The old timers from the 1980s and 1990s seem to be all burnt out. It is time for some new blood to arise and take on this issue which of all the issues which concerns us is the most fundamental.

If we as a racial entity disappear, then our culture and traditions go as well. An "Australia" which is predominately Chinese will be a part of Asia. "Australia" as we know it is ceasing to exist.

These racially suicidal policies are largely supported by what has been called "the new class", professionals and "knowledge/administrative workers. Organised environmentalism is strongly pro-

immigration, Betts shows from statistics in her paper. Such environmentalists are essentially "red" rather than "green" having an over-riding concern for "Social Justice" (whatever that means).

Managers, administrators and other parasites of the bureaucratic welfare state support a high immigration intake as migrants are often their business, and even if not, the cosmopolitan values of "open borders" is part of their new class philosophy.

Pro-immigration characterises professions such as social work, teaching, the media and religion. University graduates support high immigration, themselves a product of the standard ideological brainwashing that occurs at our so-called institutions of higher education. Blue-collar workers are more likely to think that the intakes are too high.

The social system is thus geared towards "open borders" and the ultimate doom of the White people in Australia. To fight this requires a new anti-immigration movement. And, I'm sorry to say, there is nobody out there to do it for us – no Pauline sitting in the wings ready to save us. We have to start from the ground up and we need to start now.

BRINGING 'EM IN BY THE BUCKET LOAD – from Len the Cleaner:

Editor, you did invite me to write again and I have taken you up on the invitation. *The Advertiser*, 20 August 2005, reported that the South Australian government was sending a delegation to India during the month of September to encourage Indians to come to this State. Apparently we are short of IT, software and systems integration workers.

The Rann government is as racially suicidal as the rest of the regimes that rule Australia, apparently can't see fit to train our own young people. With such a cargo-cult mentality it turns to Asia for "the quick fix".

The other day after finishing my cleaning job at one particular university (no names, no pack drill, *ed*) I happened to look into a lecture room. You know, I couldn't see any White faces at all. It was a sea of Asian faces. Please don't get me wrong, I have found many individual Asians pleasant and well mannered to me, a lowly cleaner, but I don't want Adelaide swamped with them.

The Advertiser, 23 August 2005, told us that the State government is on another mission – to double this city's share of international students by 2013. No doubt this madness will continue until the desired goal is reached – which means no places at all for our local students.

By the "logic" employed by the Government of SA, there is really no reason at all why locals should have an education or jobs. Locals = bad; international = good.

Even a lowly cleaner can see that is the deadly equation our local mandarins have adopted.

SOCIAL CREDIT NEWS FROM THE SOLOMON ISLANDS:

Dear Sri Ramon Jun QUITALES II, Thank you very much for offering to keep me posted on your activities in the Solomon's. Thanks you too for your efforts in this cause. Your peoples' bank project looks very, very interesting and exciting. Sincerely, Bill Daly, New Zealand.

SOLOMON SOCIALCREDIT Subject: Re: Regarding Social Credit

Dear Bill, I understand your sentiment and reason for failures of Social Credit political parties in western countries when individuals can easily get credit cards/personal loans from the banks without any securities at all.

In contrary, here in the Solomon Islands the only 3 banks operating [ANZ, Westpac and NBSI] never, repeat never, provide any credit card facilities nor extend any loans to indigenous Solomon Islanders. (This is the) Reason why when the voters found out that we are going to introduce a drastic radical

monetary reform in the country where every one who have a viable project and good character can receive a loan/credit from the People's Bank that we are going to establish, our memberships jumps from mere 100 to over 10,000 now.

We launched our Election Manifesto and Strategic Policies last Sunday which was broadcasted live over the radio throughout the country, and response from the people are (was) quite alarming that our phone since yesterday is quite busy.

We will keep you update(d) from here in Solomon Islands.

Your servant in Social Credit,

Sri Ramon Jun Quitales II, Secretary-General SoCred Party,
SoCred House, Hibiscus Avenue, P.O. Box 1349 HONIARA CITY, Solomon Islands.

BILL DALY RESPONDED:

Dear Sri Ramon Jun QUITALES II, Thank you for your efforts to advance Social Credit in the Solomons.

In New Zealand (and) Canada the attempts to advance SC via the political party system has constantly proved to be soul destroying, taking always a great deal of effort and to date after many decades, still nothing to show for it. I encourage you in your wonderful endeavours but also encourage you to seriously consider how much more useful it will be to have a SC movement outside of the party system - a grass roots movement and possibly also experiments in local credit schemes to demonstrate the advantages of using debt-free money systems.

The Democrats for Social Credit stood many candidates at New Zealand general elections last Saturday and barely got even a few votes. Also the SC Party in NZ over the years has from time to time allowed itself to support objectives that are not in any way real social credit, such as they are now supporting a 1 percent turnover tax. True this might provide a more sensible tax system to what is presently operating but does not in any way acknowledge the need for a completely new, and true to nature money system, in which almost all taxes could be easily abolished.

I'm on friendly terms with many people in the party here but have seen so much wasted effort and compromises over the decades that it would be unfortunate if this tragedy were repeated in the Solomons.

With kindest regards and very best wishes for the future, Bill Daly, New Zealand.

THE FROG IN THE WELL by James Reed: The Murdoch Press continues to celebrate the seeming divine inevitability of China becoming "No.1". A recent article by Paul Lloyd in *The Advertiser* review (27-28 August 2005) entitled "New World Order" begins: "The Middle Kingdom is destined to fulfil the ancient Chinese belief that it is the centre of the universe." China will overtake the US by 2049.

Australians are the frogs in the well that can't comprehend the vast ocean that is China outside the well. That ocean is fast developing into a military tsunami. Japanese Economics, Trade and Industry Minister, Shoichi Nakagawa, expressed concerns about the joint military exercises between Russia and China on Shandong Peninsula, China. The exercises were a strategic initiative by which Russia would aid China in suppression of Taiwan's independence. The Japanese see the emergence of an alliance to challenge American influence in the Pacific. Old 'commies' die hard.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.

Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.

Subscription \$40.00 p.a.